

HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 4 5  
b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 3 4 5  
c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 5  
d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 5  
e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 3 4 5  
f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5  
g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5  
h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 4 5

Were the sessions? Too easy Expected level Too difficult

Was your workload? Light Fair Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

The one on Callan's paper. It was a fascinating intro to ANT

What session was your least favourite? Why?

Essay writing. Probably a little too much guidance ~~and~~ if anything.

What reading did you like the most? Why?

Callan's. Irreverent and fascinating.

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

N/A

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

The lectures

How would you improve these sessions?

N/A

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings  
b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 4 5 (excellent)

## HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 4 5
- b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 3 4 5
- c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 5
- d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 5
- e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 3 4 5
- f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5
- g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5
- h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 4 5

Were the sessions? Too easy Expected level Too difficult

Was your workload? Light Fair Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

I like the session where we talked about various controversies during the COVID-19 pandemic.

What session was your least favourite? Why?

I didn't have a least favourite session since I feel like they have all been very applicable that what we have learned in class.

What reading did you like the most? Why?

The reading I liked the most was on the scallaps and Gisherman. It was interesting to see how different actors have different interests and how that leads to disagreements and controversies.

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

I haven't had a least favorite reading, but some were more interesting and engaging than others.

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

The strongest aspects of these sessions have been the numerous opportunities for students to participate and contribute to discussions in class.

How would you improve these sessions?

To improve these sessions, I would encourage more group discussions and spending some time talking about recent and relevant controversies.

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings
- b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 4 5 (excellent)

**HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 **4** 5
- b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 3 **4** 5
- c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 **4** 5
- d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 3 **4** 5
- e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 3 4 **5**
- f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 3 **4** 5
- g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 3 **4** 5
- h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 **4** 5

Were the sessions? Too easy **Expected level** Too difficult

Was your workload? Light **Fair** Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

Network dynamics - infrastructures, boundary objects  
 - Tied up my understanding of ANT, picture started coming together

What session was your least favourite? Why?

Essay writing? maybe more practical guidance would be helpful

What reading did you like the most? Why?

Role of the Organization in production of Techno-Scientific Knowledge - interesting & reasonable difficulty level of reading

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

Scallops reading - just a bit boring but I know its important

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

maybe group work - I appreciate the interactive & practical element

How would you improve these sessions?

~~language examples really help with the reading process~~ - This is done well actually

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings
- b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 **4** 5 (excellent)

**HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 **4** 5
- b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 3 **4** 5
- c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 **4** 5
- d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 3 **4** 5
- e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 3 4 **5**
- f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 3 **4** 5
- g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 3 **4** 5
- h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 **4** 5

Were the sessions? Too easy **Expected level** Too difficult

Was your workload? Light **Fair** Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

*Session 2 + Session 4*

What session was your least favourite? Why?

What reading did you like the most? Why?

*"Dr Golem - How to think about medicine" a very interesting book with good accessible language, perfect for those who have limited knowledge on the topic.*

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

*Michel Callon's reading on scallops. It was slightly confusing and a little difficult to follow without the knowledge that was provided in the lecture.*

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

How would you improve these sessions?

*- More group work and group discussions*

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings
- b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study**

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 4 **5 (excellent)**

**HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 4 **5**
- b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 3 **4** 5
- c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 **4** 5
- d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 3 **4** 5
- e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 3 4 5
- f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 **5**
- g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 3 **4** 5
- h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 4 **5**

Were the sessions? Too easy **Expected level** Too difficult

Was your workload? Light **Fair** Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

week 2 : philosophy to sociology  
I liked the foundational coverage of the issues. with theory-laden and undetermination of theory.

What session was your least favourite? Why?

Essay one - ~~it was helpful but I feel the request to~~

I feel like the methodologies of analysis could have been better sign posted as methods in the lectures before the bio discussion post was due.

institutional ecology 'translations'  
Baudry objects - Star & Criesemer - related to discussions I have made before in my degree.

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

Callons scallops reading.

it was incredibly dense and I struggled quite a bit getting through it.

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

lots of opportunities to contribute - diversity of peers disciplinary background really shows in conversations and I feel this has really helped me see new perspectives. I really enjoy listening to my peers contribution

How would you improve these sessions?

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings
- b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study**

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 **4** 5 (excellent)

**HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 4 5
- b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 3 4 5
- c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 5
- d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 5
- e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 3 4 5
- f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5
- g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5
- h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 4 5

Were the sessions? Too easy Expected level Too difficult

Was your workload? Light Fair Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

- Boundary work/objects - enjoyed considering the potential

What session was your least favourite? Why?

- theory heavy one: just because was quite a lot, but was very interesting still

What reading did you like the most? Why?

- The scallops reading - Michel Callon  
- Thought about different actors, connections + power dynamics in a really interesting way

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

Sismondo - just because was quite challenging to read

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

- I enjoyed the lectures when specific examples were given and analysed, it makes the theory clear: like the Challenger launch

How would you improve these sessions?

I enjoy them!

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings
- b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

Lot of both?

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 4 5 (excellent)

# HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 4 5
- b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 3 4 5
- c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 5
- d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 5
- e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 3 ~~4~~ 5
- f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5
- g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5
- h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 4 5

Were the sessions? Too easy Expected level Too difficult

Was your workload? Light Fair Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

I enjoyed the session that introduced the idea of a black box b/c its a concept I have heard of in other classes but did not have a name for.

What session was your least favourite? Why?

What reading did you like the most? Why?

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

How would you improve these sessions?

I would appreciate feedback on the discussion posts esp. if full points were not given.

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings  
b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 4 5 (excellent)

**HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 **4** 5
- b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 **3** 4 5
- c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 **3** 4 5
- d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 **2** 3 4 5
- e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 3 **4** 5
- f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 **3** 4 5
- g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 **3** 4 5
- h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 4 **5**

Were the sessions? Too easy **Expected level** Too difficult

Was your workload? Light **Fair** Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?  
controversy analysis: philo → socio of science  
↳ good layout for class

What session was your least favourite? Why?  
essay writing  
↳ not as interesting

What reading did you like the most? Why?  
Sasman doc text  
↳ good background

What reading was your least favourite? Why?  
Vaughan's paper  
↳ not as easy to understand

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?  
- the analysis  
- the real world topics / contacts

How would you improve these sessions?  
more activities / clear cut lectures

- For the second part of the course, would you prefer to
- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings
  - b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study**

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 **3** 4 5 (excellent)

**HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 4 **5**
- b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 3 4 **5**
- c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 **5**
- d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 **5**
- e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 3 **4** 5
- f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 **5**
- g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 **5**
- h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 4 **5**

Were the sessions? Too easy **Expected level** Too difficult

Was your workload? Light **Fair** Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

I really liked working on the ANT problem because it really helped me better understand the concept and now I could use it in the paper.

What session was your least favourite? Why?

I don't know if I had a "least favourite" because but I think maybe just one session when we didn't get discussion time.

What reading did you like the most? Why?

I liked the Callon reading because I find it easier to understand the concepts in this course when they are explained with in-depth examples.

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

N/A

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

I appreciate that the concepts are explained in easily understandable terms and we have time for clarifying questions. Both lecturers are really passionate and it makes the sessions very informative. More hands-on activities and engaging :) like the posters.

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings
- b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study**

I think both are useful.

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 4 **5 (excellent)**

As a visiting student studying something outside of this subject area in my other coursework, I am LOVING this class and appreciate the supporting, engaging teaching style.

**HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 4 5
- b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 3 4 5
- c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 5
- d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 5
- e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 3 4 5
- f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5
- g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5
- h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 4 5

Were the sessions? Too easy Expected level Too difficult

Was your workload? Light Fair Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

~~Week 1~~ Week 5 b/c I feel that we were able to connect a lot of concepts together

What session was your least favourite? Why?

Week 1 because I feel that we didn't know enough tools yet ~~to~~ or enough information, so it felt more broad + less interesting

What reading did you like the most? Why?

I really liked the challenge reading b/c it had lots of concepts we talked about in previous sessions

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

I think the readings at the beginning b/c they were more broad, introductory

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

Collaboration with other classmates + clarity of concepts from reading

How would you improve these sessions?

Maybe more collaboration with classmates

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings
- b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 4 5 (excellent)

## HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 4 5  
b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 3 4 5  
c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 5  
d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 3 3 4 5  
e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 3 4 5  
f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5  
g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5  
h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 4 5

Were the sessions? Too easy Expected level Too difficult

Was your workload? Light Fair Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

Network dynamics (Week 5) because it seems the most interesting to me as it was something new to me

What session was your least favourite? Why?

Essay writing (Week 4) because it was just a writing session

What reading did you like the most? Why?

I like the Sismendo reading because it ~~to~~ explains the concepts well

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

I don't think I have any least favourite in particular

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

I like that we have discussion session for the seminar section to help share our ideas

How would you improve these sessions?

I feel like I need more example to make connections for the concept being taught

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings  
b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 4 5 (excellent)

## HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents:    | 1 | 2 | 3 | ④ | 5 |
| b) Usefulness of readings:                                | 1 | 2 | 3 | ④ | 5 |
| c) Lectures (first part of sessions):                     | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ⑤ |
| d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions):          | 1 | 2 | ③ | 4 | 5 |
| e) Utility of discussion boards:                          | 1 | 2 | 3 | ④ | 5 |
| f) Guidance on assignment (essay):                        | 1 | 2 | ③ | 4 | 5 |
| g) Adequacy of assignment (essay):                        | 1 | 2 | ③ | 4 | 5 |
| h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ⑤ |

Were the sessions? Too easy Expected level Too difficult

Was your workload? Light Fair Too much  
 readings can be a little much

What session did you like the most? Why?

I enjoyed week 2's lecture the most.

I enjoy <sup>learning about</sup> the philosophy of science.

What session was your least favourite? Why?

Week 3. I didn't enjoy Callon's reading so much.

What reading did you like the most? Why?

I really liked Vaughan's reading on the Challenger Disaster. It was the easiest to follow and I'd learnt a lot about <sup>organisational theory</sup> from that.

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

Callon's reading. I didn't appreciate his writing style, so I feel like I didn't fully understand it.

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

The explanations of the theories with the case studies are really great.

How would you improve these sessions?

Have more group discussions where the lecturer bounces around different groups to add/clarify points so that there's more clarity and participation in greater discussion.

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings

⑤ Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 ④ 5 (excellent)

**HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents:    | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| b) Usefulness of readings:                                | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| c) Lectures (first part of sessions):                     | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions):          | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| e) Utility of discussion boards:                          | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| f) Guidance on assignment (essay):                        | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| g) Adequacy of assignment (essay):                        | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Were the sessions?    Too easy    Expected level    Too difficult

Was your workload?    Light    Fair    Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

Essay workshop day with the examples

What session was your least favourite? Why?

Network drawings, quite difficult to follow

What reading did you like the most? Why?

Challenger Case was very correlated with classes I've taken before.

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

Callon's ANT and startups was highly technical for me.

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

Very engaging lectures

How would you improve these sessions?

More time to waste on practical cases / on our essays tying into content

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings

b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

Your overall opinion of the course:    1 (poor)    2    3    4    5 (excellent)

**HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 4 5
- b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 3 4 5
- c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 5
- d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 5
- e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 3 4 5
- f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5
- g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5
- h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 4 5

Were the sessions? Too easy Expected level Too difficult

Was your workload? Light Fair Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

I liked the essay writing one

What session was your least favourite? Why?

What reading did you like the most? Why?

I think the first one set up the course nicely

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

How would you improve these sessions?

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings
- b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 4 5 (excellent)

## HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 4 **5**
- b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 3 4 **5**
- c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 **5**
- d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 **5**
- e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 3 4 **5**
- f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 **5**
- g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 **5**
- h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 4 **5**

Were the sessions? Too easy **Expected level** Too difficult

Was your workload? Light **Fair** Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

The one on Callon and clams. I really like the way he writes. It's interesting, although I'm very glad we fully went over the reading afterwards because the main arguments were a little hard to parse.

What session was your least favourite? Why?

What reading did you like the most? Why?

Still Callon and also STS history. I like how readings in STS sometimes feels a bit speculative, it can be very fluid to being so inherently cross-disciplinary.

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

I find the strength to be in the continuity and pace of this course. It seems that all concepts build upon last discussions, and time is given for discussions, and for ideas to be unpacked/connected to other concepts before moving on.

How would you improve these sessions?

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings  
b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

at the moment, seems that we discuss during first half.

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 4 **5 (excellent)**

This course is cooler than the description sounds 😊

## HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 **4** 5  
b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 **3** 4 5  
c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 **4** 5  
d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 **3** 4 5  
e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 **2** 3 4 5  
f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 3 **4** 5  
g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 **3** 4 5  
h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 **3** 4 5

Were the sessions? Too easy **Expected level** Too difficult

Was your workload? Light Fair **Too much**

What session did you like the most? Why?

Session 5 because I saw a lot of connections I would make to my essay.

What session was your least favourite? Why?

session 3 but that was only because I had just switched into the class and hadn't fully caught up yet so I just felt a little lost.

What reading did you like the most? Why?

The ~~best~~ Challenger article since I just found the 'behind-the-scenes' look of the tragic accident very interesting.

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

Callon - it was very technical.

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

Explaining + elaborating on the readings.

How would you improve these sessions?

N/A

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings  
**b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study**

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 **4** 5 (excellent)

**HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 4 **5**
- b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 3 4 **5**
- c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 **5**
- d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 3 **4** 5
- e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 **3** 4 5
- f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 **3** 4 5
- g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 **5**
- h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 4 **5**

Were the sessions? Too easy **Expected level** Too difficult

Was your workload? Light **Fair** Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

*the lecture on ANT, more of a complicated topic so the explanation during seminar was useful*

What session was your least favourite? Why?

*N/A*

What reading did you like the most? Why?

*the most recent one on the Challenges, I liked that applied the theory we have been discussing*

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

*N/A*

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

*the clarity of the lectures*

How would you improve these sessions?

*more discussion/ small group work with peers*

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a)** Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings
- b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 4 **5 (excellent)**

# HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents:    | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| b) Usefulness of readings:                                | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| c) Lectures (first part of sessions):                     | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions):          | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| e) Utility of discussion boards:                          | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| f) Guidance on assignment (essay):                        | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| g) Adequacy of assignment (essay):                        | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Were the sessions? Too easy Expected level Too difficult

Was your workload? Light Fair ~~Too much~~

What session did you like the most? Why?

Essay writing. Clarified what was needed to do and made me feel less worried about the essay

What session was your least favourite? Why?

Session 2. Enjoyed the others more.

What reading did you like the most? Why?

Challenger launch reading. I found it was most enjoyable, most interesting, and easiest to read in terms of language used

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

~~The session~~ The ANT Reading. Sometimes the language used was making it difficult to focus and understand.

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

Giving practical examples helps to understand the topics better and allows for similarities with other issues

How would you improve these sessions?

Speak about the next week a bit, to help with the understanding of verbose language being used in the readings (not coming from science background)

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings  
b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

B

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 4 5 (excellent)

## HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents:    | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| b) Usefulness of readings:                                | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| c) Lectures (first part of sessions):                     | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions):          | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| e) Utility of discussion boards:                          | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| f) Guidance on assignment (essay):                        | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| g) Adequacy of assignment (essay):                        | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Were the sessions? Too easy Expected level Too difficult

Was your workload? Light Fair Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

Session 3, as I liked the hands-on activity and discussing with my tablemates.

What session was your least favourite? Why?

Session 4, which I found helpful but a bit disconnected.

What reading did you like the most? Why?

I liked the first set of readings, as they set the tone for what to expect from the class and were also very personally interesting.

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

Calton's scallops, which was a good illustration of his methodology but a bit repetitive.

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

Examples of proposed theories and concepts.

How would you improve these sessions?

Small group discussions before large group ones.

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings  
b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 4 5 (excellent)

**HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 **3** 4 5
- b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 **3** 4 5
- c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 **4** 5
- d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 **5**
- e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 3 **4** 5
- f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 **3** 4 5
- g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 **3** 4 5
- h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 **3** 4 5

Were the sessions? Too easy Expected level

**Too difficult** - more theoretical/complex than I thought

Was your workload? Light **Fair** Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

Session 3: I liked the activity and talking out a real example with my peers

What session was your least favourite? Why?

Session 2: All the lecture material was complex theory and participation was dominated by 3 students who seemed to be the only ones who understood the material; there was also no time to ~~discuss~~ discuss five readings

What reading did you like the most? Why?

Science Controversies: The Dynamics of Public Disputes in the US  
helpful for my paper

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

Essential Session 2: Very dense and unclear in the academic way where the writers are using fanciful/inaccessible language to sound more intelligent

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

Peer discussions and mapping Covid

How would you improve these sessions?

More modern case studies → climate change, ai, etc.

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings
- b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study > mix of both

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 **3** 4 5 (excellent)

**HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 **4** 5
- b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 3 **4** 5
- c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 **4** 5
- d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 **3** 4 5
- e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 **3** 4 5
- f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 **3** 4 5
- g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5
- h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 **4** 5

Were the sessions? Too easy Expected level Too difficult

Was your workload? Light Fair **Too much** workload throughout the week is fair  
I believe a 3000 word mid-term is pretty challenging. This is a very new subject & we are pretty limited with time.  
What session did you like the most? Why?

I enjoyed week 2's session I found the content interesting

What session was your least favourite? Why?

Week 1.

What reading did you like the most? Why?

'The Role of organization in the production of techno-scientific knowledge'  
Interesting I enjoyed reading the analysis & application of the theory to an interesting case study  
What reading was your least favourite? Why?

'An Introduction to science & technology studies'  
Very abstract & theoretical

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

I believe the quality of the lectures is very impressive.

How would you improve these sessions?

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings
- b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study**

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 **3** 4 5 (excellent)

## HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents:    | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| b) Usefulness of readings:                                | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| c) Lectures (first part of sessions):                     | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions):          | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| e) Utility of discussion boards:                          | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| f) Guidance on assignment (essay):                        | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| g) Adequacy of assignment (essay):                        | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Were the sessions? Too easy      Expected level      Too difficult

Was your workload? Light      Fair      Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

Week 2 on STS, ANT, theories.

What session was your least favourite? Why?

Boundary Object. Just not very clear on it.

What reading did you like the most? Why?

Callon's ~~to~~ translation.

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

Essay Guidance.

How would you improve these sessions?

Maybe split lecture and seminar/tutorial.

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings

b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

Your overall opinion of the course:      1 (poor)      2      3      4      5 (excellent)

**HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 4 5
- b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 3 4 5
- c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 5
- d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 5
- e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 3 4 5
- f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5
- g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5
- h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 4 5

Were the sessions? Too easy Expected level Too difficult

Was your workload? Light Fair Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

I really enjoyed the first session as we had a collaborative discussion about controversies in covid

What session was your least favourite? Why?

Session 2 on the theories in STS. It was very interesting but very theory heavy & intense + enjoyable

What reading did you like the most? Why?

Week 1. Chapter on controversies in STS

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

Callon's on the scallops. Super important for foundations but just found it hard to understand

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

Explanation of theories

How would you improve these sessions?

Maybe more discussion in class over popular / common controversies

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings
- b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 4 5 (excellent)

**HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 4 5
- b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 3 4 5
- c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 5
- d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 3 4 5
- e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 3 4 5
- f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5
- g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 3 4 5
- h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 4 5

Were the sessions? Too easy Expected level Too difficult

Was your workload? Light Fair Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

What session was your least favourite? Why?

What reading did you like the most? Why?

Vaughn - Challenges

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

How would you improve these sessions?

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings
- b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

Both

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 4 5 (excellent)

**HALF-WAY FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – CONTROVERSIES IN MEDICINE, TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Please, rate the following aspects from 1 (very poor) to 5 (excellent):

- a) Expertise of the lecturers and clarity of contents: 1 2 3 **4** 5  
b) Usefulness of readings: 1 2 **3** 4 **5**  
c) Lectures (first part of sessions): 1 2 3 **4** **5**  
d) Practical symposia (second part of sessions): 1 2 3 **4** 5  
e) Utility of discussion boards: 1 2 **3** 4 5  
f) Guidance on assignment (essay): 1 2 **3** 4 5  
g) Adequacy of assignment (essay): 1 2 **3** 4 5  
h) Accessibility of staff and opportunity to participate: 1 2 3 4 **5**

Were the sessions? Too easy **Expected level** Too difficult

Was your workload? Light **Fair** Too much

What session did you like the most? Why?

What session was your least favourite? Why?

What reading did you like the most? Why?

What reading was your least favourite? Why?

What were the strongest aspects of these sessions?

How would you improve these sessions?

For the second part of the course, would you prefer to

- a) Devote the second hour of the session to discuss the week's readings  
b) Devote the second hour of the session to address a practical case study

Your overall opinion of the course: 1 (poor) 2 3 4 5 (excellent)

